FIDEBASE / BUSINESS TRUST INTELLIGENCE

ENTERPRISE VERIFICATION REPORT

Illustrative supplier due diligence assessment

SUBJECT COMPANY	REPORT REFERENCE
Northstar Components Trading Ltd.	FB-SAMPLE-2026-001
REPORT DATE	**ASSESSMENT TYPE**
03 November 2026	Illustrative desktop review

IMPORTANT NOTICE

THIS DOCUMENT IS A FICTIONAL DESIGN SAMPLE. The company, individuals, identifiers, dates, findings, records and all other case details in this report have been invented solely to demonstrate Fidebase's proposed reporting format. No real company has been investigated and no real-world verification has been performed.

Trust before trade.

01 / EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Purpose and scope

This illustrative report demonstrates how Fidebase could organise a preliminary review of a prospective overseas supplier. It is designed to help a buyer identify what appears consistent, what remains unconfirmed, and which items should be resolved before commercial commitment.

The fictional subject, Northstar Components Trading Ltd. (“Northstar”), is presented as a small trading company offering industrial electrical components. The example assumes a buyer is considering a first purchase and has requested a desktop-level review before placing an order.

OVERALL DISPOSITION	ILLUSTRATIVE OUTCOME
Further checks recommended	Do not treat this sample as a clearance, certification, or recommendation to transact. In the fictional scenario, several core identity and operating details remain unverified.

Key observations in this fictional case

Area	Illustrative finding	Status
Legal identity	A company name and registration number are supplied in the fictional case file; no independent registry extract is represented here.	NOT VERIFIED
Business profile	The stated trading activity is plausible for the described product range, but operating capacity is not evidenced.	PARTIALLY VERIFIED
Digital presence	The sample website and email domain are internally consistent in the invented materials; ownership is not independently established.	PARTIALLY VERIFIED
Payment controls	A request to remit funds to a third-party beneficiary would require clarification before payment.	DISCREPANCY FLAG

Decision-use limitation

A desktop review can support triage, not certainty. Before onboarding or payment, the buyer should obtain current official registry evidence, verify the contracting entity and bank beneficiary independently, and validate product, quality and delivery claims through appropriate evidence.

All statuses and observations above are fictional examples and do not describe any real organisation.

02 / CORPORATE IDENTITY

Fictional identity profile

The following profile is invented for demonstration. The identifiers are deliberately marked as illustrative and must not be used for searches, onboarding, or legal reliance.

Field	Illustrative information	Review status
Registered name	Northstar Components Trading Ltd.	**NOT VERIFIED**
Company number	NC-2021-048271 (fictional)	**NOT VERIFIED**
Jurisdiction	Republic of Norland (fictional jurisdiction)	**NOT VERIFIED**
Incorporation date	14 June 2021 (fictional)	**NOT VERIFIED**
Registered address	Unit 408, Meridian Trade Centre, 18 Harbour Link, Port Meridian (fictional)	**NOT VERIFIED**
Stated business	Wholesale trading of industrial electrical components	**PARTIAL**
Directors / officers	Names withheld in this sample; no real persons are represented.	**NOT VERIFIED**

Identity checks a real engagement should include

CHECK	EVIDENCE TO REQUEST OR OBTAIN
Registry existence	Current official registry extract or certified company profile, retrieved directly from the relevant authority where possible.
Entity status	Active / dissolved / struck-off status, filing history and any material status restrictions.
Address	Independent address corroboration; distinguish registered office, operating site, warehouse and mail-handling address.
Authority to contract	Evidence that the signatory is authorised to bind the contracting entity.

Interpretation

A supplied registration number is a lead, not proof. A genuine verification workflow should match the exact legal name, jurisdiction, registration number, status and registered address against a current authoritative source. Similar names or screenshots supplied by the counterparty should not be treated as conclusive.

03 / BUSINESS PROFILE

Stated operating model

In the fictional scenario, Northstar describes itself as a trading intermediary sourcing low-voltage electrical components from multiple manufacturers and reselling them to industrial buyers. It does not claim to manufacture the products itself.

Profile element	Fictional representation	What should be substantiated
Product scope	Circuit protection devices, terminal blocks and industrial connectors	Catalogues, manufacturer authorisations, model numbers and traceable product documentation.
Supply chain	Sourcing from regional third-party manufacturers	Named manufacturer references, purchase records, and permission to distribute where relevant.
Facilities	Small office with outsourced warehousing (fictional)	Site evidence, warehouse agreement, inventory controls and fulfilment responsibilities.
Staffing	Lean sales and sourcing team (fictional)	Operational contacts, role clarity and ability to manage the proposed order volume.

Trading company or manufacturer?

This distinction matters. A trading company may be a legitimate supplier, but it should not be presented as the factory or original manufacturer unless that status is evidenced. Buyers should identify who actually manufactures the goods, who controls quality, who issues warranties, and which entity is responsible for defects or recalls.

Illustrative capability review

Capability	Sample status	Comment
Manufacturing capability	NOT CLAIMED	The fictional company is described as a trader, not a manufacturer.
Quality management certification	NOT VERIFIED	No certificate is reproduced or independently validated in this sample.
Export experience	NOT VERIFIED	Request redacted shipping records or buyer references, subject to lawful disclosure.
Product compliance	NOT VERIFIED	Verify certificates and test reports against exact models, factories and issuing bodies.

Buyer implication

For an intermediary, the key diligence question is not simply “Does the supplier exist?” but “Can this entity reliably source the specified goods, from an identified manufacturer, under enforceable contractual and quality arrangements?”

04 / WEBSITE & CONTACT REVIEW

Digital footprint review framework

The fictional case assumes the supplier provided a website at **www.northstar-components.example** and email addresses using the same domain. The reserved ".example" domain is used to prevent confusion with a real website.

Review item	Fictional observation	Status
Website domain	northstar-components.example (reserved example domain)	ILLUSTRATIVE
Email consistency	sales@northstar-components.example appears consistent with the stated domain.	PARTIAL
Company disclosures	A legal name and address are displayed in the invented page content.	NOT VERIFIED
Product claims	Product categories are listed, but no model-specific technical files are included.	NOT VERIFIED
Domain ownership	No real domain ownership or registrant lookup has been performed.	NOT VERIFIED

Contact validation protocol

Step	Recommended procedure
1. Independent channel	Locate contact details from an authoritative source independent of the email signature or invoice supplied by the counterparty.
2. Callback verification	Call a verified switchboard or independently sourced number to confirm the contact and transaction details.
3. Domain consistency	Check for lookalike domains, subtle spelling changes, newly substituted bank instructions and unusual reply-to addresses.
4. Document consistency	Compare legal name, address, registration details and bank beneficiary across the quotation, contract, invoice and official records.

Important limitation

A professional-looking website, matching email domain, or active social profile does not establish legal existence, ownership, manufacturing capability, product quality or trustworthiness. Digital presence is one corroborating input, not a substitute for authoritative verification.

05 / RISK INDICATORS

Illustrative risk register

The following indicators are fictional scenario prompts. They are not allegations about a real company and do not constitute a finding of fraud or misconduct.

Indicator	Scenario detail	Priority	Recommended response
Third-party beneficiary	Payment instructions name an entity different from the contracting supplier.	HIGH	Pause payment; obtain a documented explanation and independently verify the beneficiary and legal basis.
Unverified registry details	Only a typed registration number is supplied; no official extract is available.	MEDIUM	Obtain a current extract directly from the relevant registry.
Unsubstantiated factory claim	Sales material uses “our factory” while the company profile describes outsourced sourcing.	MEDIUM	Clarify whether the supplier is a trader, agent or manufacturer; verify the actual factory.
Product certificates	A generic certificate is supplied without a clear model or factory match.	MEDIUM	Validate the issuer, scope, validity and product/model coverage independently.

How to interpret priority

HIGH	Resolve before payment, contract execution or shipment release. A material identity, beneficiary or control issue may expose the buyer to immediate loss.
MEDIUM	Resolve before onboarding or purchase commitment where the item affects identity, capability, compliance or enforceability.
LOW / MONITOR	Record and monitor; the item may be acceptable with proportionate contractual safeguards and ongoing review.

No numerical score assigned

Fidebase should avoid presenting a single risk score unless the scoring model, evidence quality, weighting and limitations are transparent and validated. In this sample, a qualitative issue register is more appropriate than a misleading percentage or pass/fail label.

06 / FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

Illustrative conclusion

On the fictional information presented, Northstar should remain in a “pending further verification” state. The supplied profile is coherent enough to define a verification plan, but the core legal identity, operational claims, product documentation and payment beneficiary have not been independently established.

ORDER	ACTION	EVIDENCE / COMPLETION CRITERION
1	Verify legal entity	Current official registry extract matching legal name, number, jurisdiction and status.
2	Confirm contracting authority	Authorised signatory evidence and confirmation through an independently sourced channel.
3	Validate beneficiary	Bank account name matches the contracting entity, or a documented and independently verified lawful arrangement is accepted.
4	Identify manufacturer	Factory legal identity, product origin, authorisation (if relevant) and traceable model-level documentation.
5	Check product compliance	Applicable test reports/certificates confirmed with issuer and matched to exact products and factory.
6	Control first transaction	Written specifications, inspection rights, staged payment terms and clear remedies for non-conformity.

Suggested transaction safeguards

For a first order, consider an independently inspected pre-shipment sample, a clearly defined acceptance standard, payment to the verified contracting entity, and contractual provisions covering delivery, inspection, defects, governing law and dispute resolution. The right safeguards depend on order value, product criticality and jurisdiction.

Sample disposition

PENDING FURTHER VERIFICATION

This is a workflow disposition for the fictional scenario only. It is not an approval, rejection, credit opinion, certification, or guarantee of future performance.

07 / METHODOLOGY & LIMITATIONS

Evidence status definitions

STATUS	MEANING
VERIFIED	Confirmed against an identified, sufficiently reliable source within the stated scope and date.
PARTIALLY VERIFIED	Some elements are supported, but material details remain incomplete or require corroboration.
DISCREPANCY FOUND	Two or more relevant information points conflict, or a material inconsistency requires resolution.
NOT VERIFIED	No adequate independent evidence has been obtained to confirm the point.

Methodology that a live engagement may use

Depending on jurisdiction, scope and lawful access, a real Fidebase engagement may review official corporate registries, company-provided documents, independently sourced contact channels, domain and website information, product documentation, sanctions or adverse-media sources where appropriate, and direct confirmations from relevant issuers. Source availability and reliability vary by country and subject.

Sources used in this sample

No external sources, registries, databases, websites, people or companies were consulted for this fictional report. All case facts and findings are invented. The source categories described above are methodological examples only; they are not a record of searches performed.

Scope limitations

This document does not constitute legal advice, an audit, a credit rating, a sanctions clearance, a product certification, a factory audit, a financial statement review or a guarantee of supplier performance. It does not establish beneficial ownership, solvency, title to goods, product conformity or the absence of undisclosed risks. A real engagement should define the subject, jurisdiction, permitted sources, review date and exclusions in writing.

Reliance and permitted use

This sample is provided solely to demonstrate report structure and presentation. It must not be relied upon for any commercial, legal, financial, regulatory or procurement decision. Do not present, circulate or quote it as the result of an actual investigation.

FIDEBASE
Business Trust Intelligence
Trust before trade.

FICTIONAL SAMPLE REPORT
FB-SAMPLE-2026-001
03 November 2026